

M/L 58
11.07.2025
Court No.14
PRADIP

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 19487 of 2024

Avik Kumar Ghosh
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Ranojay Chatterjee
Mr. Tamal Singha Roy
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Atarup Banerjee
Mr. Guddu Singh
...for the Union of India.

Ms. Sayani Roy Chowdhury
Ms. Biswadeepa Mandal
...for the State Bank of India.

Mr. R.N. Ghosh
Ms. Tanay Ghosh
...for the Respondent no.4.

Mr. Kallol Mondal Sr. Adv.
Mr. Krishan Ray
Mr. Souvik Das
Mr. Anamitra Banerjee
Mr. Akbar Laskar
...for the Respondent no.7.

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous due to efflux of time and because of subsequent events that have taken place.
2. In view of such submission, the writ petition stands dismissed as ‘infructuous’.
3. It will be open for the petitioner to take steps in accordance with law to challenge the subsequent events that have taken place if the petitioner is aggrieved by the same.
4. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)