

September 12, 2025

46 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 1346 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Liluah
Police Station** Case No. **176** of **2024** dated **7/04/2024** under
Sections **326/307/384/34** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
25(i)(a)/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Subhankar Polley @ Subho

... petitioner.

Adv. Sk. Toslim Ali

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Debasish Roy, Ld. PP,
Adv. Manisha Sharma,
Adv. S. Balial,

... for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than a year and prays for
bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner appears to be the principal assailant who shot
the victim. The offending fire arm has been recovered at the instance
of the petitioner. The bullet recovered from the place of occurrence
has matched with the said fire arm. This Court is informed that the
prosecution proposes to examine 15 witnesses and 3 witnesses are
left to be examined.

Learned counsel for the State submits that witness action is
expected to conclude by this month.

Considering the material on record and prima facie role of the
petitioner in the alleged offence, prayer for bail is rejected at this
stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without
granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)