

21.11.2025
Sl. No.14
NB

CRM (A) 2857 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Asansol Women PS Case No.37/2025 dated 28.03.2025 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/85/82(1)/303(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

And

In the matter of: Suman Ghosh ... petitioner

Mr. Santanu Talukder,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Soumik Ganguly,
Mr. Asraf Mandal.
...for the State.

Mr. Supriyo Shasmal
...for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the husband of the alleged victim. The allegations are absolutely commonplace. The marriage between the couple took place in 2016.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that in spite of having two minor children, the petitioner entered into an illicit relationship with another lady and got married with her. He has been torturing the de facto complainant/victim for dowry. On a particular day, he brutally assaulted the victim.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of neighbours contained at page 11, 12 and 13 of the case diary and the injury report at page 39 of the case diary.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail being **CRM (A) 2857 of 2025** is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)