

12.09.2025

23
jb.
jdt.

C.R.M. (M) 1319 of 2025

In Re : An Application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure/under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Nabagram Police Station Case No. 18 of 2025 dated 10.01.2025 under Sections 103(1)/238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

And

In Re : Sukul Soren

Abdur Rakib
Mr. Biswajit Sarkar
Majahid Mehedi

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Anand Keshari
Ms. Jonaki Saha

... For the State

The petitioner is in custody for about 9 months and prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had no contact with the victim who was his estranged wife and married some other person subsequently. He is not named in the FIR and was arrested from his house 18 days after the incident. He has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The son of the victim has implicated the petitioner in the alleged offence. The mobile phone of the victim and the offending weapon have been recovered at the behest of the petitioner. He is in custody for about 9 months. Offence, if proved, shall attract mandatory life imprisonment.

Considering the gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, his prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)