

D/L 40
11.08.2025
ct.no.35
Kausik

W.P.A. 17888 of 2025

**Molla Abul Kalam Azad
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh
Ms. Labani Sikdar
Mr. Souvik Dey

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Mir Anuruzzaman
Mr. S. Alam

...for the State.

Petitioner is aggrieved that in spite of a case being registered being Pursurah PS Case No. 39/25 dated 19.02.2025 no steps were taken by the police authorities. On the other hand, learned advocate for the State submits that on conclusion of investigation charge sheet has been submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Having considered that the charge sheet has already been submitted, petitioner would, if he is aggrieved regarding the outcome of the investigation, prefer an appropriate application under the relevant provisions of law.

Learned Magistrate would assess, ascertain regarding the contentions/deficiencies in

investigation and thereafter pass necessary directions as he deems fit and proper.

Petitioner also apprehends regarding insecurity. Petitioner happens to be a witness, as such learned Magistrate would invoke the provisions of Witness Protection Scheme, 2018, in case he is satisfied that a threat perception is prevailing so far as the witnesses of the case is concerned.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 17888 of 2025 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)