

02.09.2025
Court No.28
Item No.32
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 2784 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Harirampur** P.S. Case No.**164 of 2024** dated **16.08.2024** under Sections **70/351(3)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Dipankar Murmu**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Sattik Rout
Ms. Sudeshna Maji
....for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty
Ms. Madhumita Basak
.....for the State.

Report filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

It has been clarified now that the case is under Section 70(1) of the BNS and not under Section 70(2). Therefore, the application for anticipatory bail is maintainable.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that five other co-accused were granted bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, after the victim had made a statement on oath that she could not identify the miscreants.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the accused including the present petitioner were named in the FIR and also named by the victim in her statement before the learned Magistrate.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statement of victim recorded before the learned

Magistrate, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)