

September 11, 2025

34 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 1280 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with
Jagatballavpur Police Station Case No. **182** of **2022** dated
20/8/2022 under Sections **395/397/324/412/212/34** of the
Indian Penal Code and Sections **25/27** of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Kamal Nayan Singh @ Golu

... petitioner.

Adv. Sk. Toslim Ali

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Avishek Sinha,
Adv. Chandreyi Dutta,

... for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for about three years and prays
for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only 16 out of
27 witnesses have been examined. There is little progress in trial.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record.

The witnesses examined by the prosecution have implicated
the petitioner. The petitioner has been identified in T.I. Parade. The
offending weapon and looted booty have been recovered at the
instance of the petitioner.

Considering the material on record and prima facie
involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, prayer for bail is
rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without
granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)