

14
12.09.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 1273 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Kaliyaganj Police Station Case No.506 of 2023 dated 29.11.2023 under Sections 447/341/325/326/307/506/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Najmuma Khatun** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharyya
Ms. Anushka Bose ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranabir Roychowdhury
Mr. Asraf Mondal ... for the State.

Mr. Rinku Sen
Mr. Sagnik Bhattacharya
Ms. Ruksar Parveen ...for the de facto complainant.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody for more than a year and renews her prayer for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that trial is yet to commence and there is little possibility of conclusion of trial in near future. The petitioner is a lady and has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsels for the State and the de facto complainant oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. The petitioner has been implicated by the witnesses including the injured witness. Delay in trial was caused due to abscondence of 7 co accused. The petitioner is in custody for more than a year. Offence, if proved, shall attract mandatory life imprisonment.

Considering the material on record and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)