

12.08.2025
Item No.12
Ct. No. 446
KS

C.R.M. (A) 2762 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Samrat Das**

..... Petitioner

Mr. Sachit Talukdar

Mr. Tirtharaj Ghoshal

Mr. Tushar Mirdha

....For the Petitioner

Mr. Suman De

Mr. Sujan Chatterjee

....For the State

1. Heard the submission of both the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as for the prosecution.
2. It is submitted by the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner that he is no way connected with the instant case and he is not a F.I.R. named accused person and more so, notice under Section 179 of the B.N.S.S. was served to him only without asserting any purpose. Furthermore, one of the co-accused has already been granted bail under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. Hence, prays for anticipatory bail.
3. The learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Prosecution raises vehement objection and submits that it involves primarily Rs.350 crores in money laundering case and in course of investigation, some incriminating materials are available against the present petitioner.
4. On a careful perusal of the materials on record from the Case Diary *prima facie* it is seen that notice under Section 35(3) was not served upon the petitioner and only notice under Section 179 of the B.N.S.S. was served. This is the fault on the part of the investigating authority,

who are to be more careful while dealing with these type of cases. However, *prima facie*, on going through the various statements recorded as well as the statement of account in respect of one suspicious account holder and certain incriminating materials against the present petitioner, who is said to be Director of that concern, this Court considering the gravity of offence deems it not as a fit case for granting anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner as it may otherwise prejudice the process of investigation.

5. In view of the above, the prayer of the present petitioner for granting anticipatory bail stands rejected.
6. Accordingly, the application being, **C.R.M. (A) 2762 of 2025** stands dismissed.
7. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
8. Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(CHAITALI CHATTERJEE (DAS), J.)