

Form J(2)
Sl.No.10
Sc

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

Present:

The Hon'ble Justice Aniruddha Roy

WPA 17390 OF 2025

Biswajit Sen Dfr/Clk (SD)

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

For the petitioner : Mr. Gunjan Kumar Singh , Adv. (VC)

For the Respon-
dent no.1 /UOI : Mr. Amitabha Nayak, Adv.
Ms. Sanjukta Gupta, Adv.

Heard on : August 27, 2025

Judgment on : August 27, 2025

Aniruddha Roy, J. :

Affidavit-of-service, filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Mr. Gunjan Kumar Singh, learned Advocate appears for the petitioner through virtual mode.

Mr. Amitabha Nayak, learned Advocate with Ms. Sanjukta Gupta, learned Advocate appears for the respondent no.1.

The petitioner has a matrimonial dispute with his wife which is sub-judice before the jurisdictional civil court. By an order dated **February 24, 2025, Annexure-P1 at page 13** to the writ petition a coordinate Bench in **CO 3910 of 2024** has directed the jurisdictional civil court to make every endeavour for expeditious disposal of the matrimonial suit within a specific timeframe.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that, the said civil litigation is proceeding accordingly before the jurisdictional civil court. In the meantime, the respondent nos. 3 to 5 organisation/office bearers of the Ex-Servicemen are interfering with the dispute between the petitioner and his wife. In support, learned Advocate for the petitioner has referred to various documents at **pages 15 to 40** to the writ petition. Referring to **paragraph 7** from the writ petition learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that, legal notices were issued and exchanged by and between the parties, on the basis whereof, this writ petition has been filed.

On a meaningful reading of the writ petition and after considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court is of the view that, the dispute being a private dispute between the petitioner and his wife alleged to have been interfered with by the Ex-Servicemen organization and its office bearers.

On the basis of the cause of action pleaded in the writ petition, this Court is of the considered view that, the same is not amenable to the writ

jurisdiction of this Court. The writ petition is totally ***devoid of any merit*** and ***frivolous***.

Accordingly the writ petition, ***WPA 17390 OF 2025***. Stands ***dismissed***, without any order as to costs.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)