

Sl.No.
18
Court
No. 35
G.S.Das

19.05.2025

WPA 17491 of 2023

Anjan Kumar Singha Roy
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Aniruddha Singha Roy
Mr. Arunesh Pathak
... for the Petitioner(s)

Ms. Tuhia Parvin
... for the State-respondent(s)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that his representation which was made on or about 05.07.2023, was not considered by the Officer In charge of Dhaniakhali Police Station. The petitioner further alleged that he was abused, threatened and assaulted by the private respondents.

The records of the case reflect that there was an order of *status quo* passed in T.S. 713 of 2015 on or about 06.03.2018 wherein the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn), 1st Court, Hooghly Sadar was pleased to direct *status quo* in respect of

nature, character and possession of the said property till disposal of the suit.

Since none appears on behalf of the State, Ms. Tuhia Parvin, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears for the State, is directed to represent the State. Her appearance may be regularized by the concerned authorities.

Having considered that the civil court is already *in seisin* of the issues and the petitioner's main grievance is that the private respondents are trying to encroach upon his property, I am of the view that if the same inconveniences are faced by the petitioner till date, he will file a fresh representation before the police authorities who would take steps in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 17491 of 2023 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this
order duly collected from the official website of the
Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)