

26.08.2025
Court No.28
Item No.48
ssi

CRM (A) 2680 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Kalyani PS Case No.**550 of 2025** dated **31.05.2025** under Sections **336(2)/336(3)/340(2)/318(4)/ 3 (5)** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Raja Gupta**

....Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das

...for the petitioner

Mrs. Amita Gour, Ld. Sr. Govt. Adv.

Mr. Sharequl Haque

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner was not named in the FIR. He has been named by a co-accused who was also not named in the FIR. Other than the statement of the co-accused, there was no other incriminating materials available against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits as follows. The accused had promised job in the Institute of Agriculture and had taken money from different persons including the alleged de facto complainant. Appointment letters were given, which were found to be forged. Pursuant to a statement of an FIR named accused, a co-accused was apprehended. Both the FIR named accused Tarun Das and the co-accused Prasanta Das had implicated the petitioner. In fact, pursuant to a statement made by the FIR named accused Tarun Das, tainted money to the tune of Rs. 1 ½ lakhs was recovered from the house of the present petitioner.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the seizure of money from the house of the present petitioner, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)