

AD 24
September 2, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

CRM(A) 2667 of 2025

Reject

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bidhannagar Cyber Crime P.S. Case No.68 of 2025 dated 20.06.2025 under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/319(2)/111(2)(b)(3)(4)(6)/338/336(3)(4)/340(2)/61(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and under Section 20/21 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of:

Bishwabrata Mondal @Biswabrata Mondal
... petitioner

Mr. Ayan Basu
Mr. Tanmoy Biswas
Mr. Amit Roy
Mr. Sumit Routh
Ms. Antara Mukherjee

... for the petitioner

Mr. Suman De
Ms. Mausumi Sarkar

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that first, technically the charge of organised crime is not made out. There is not even an iota of evidence regarding the allegation of forgery. The petitioner is a bona fide businessman dealing with innovative ideas in the field of Information Technology. He has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary, strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is the director of the company, which is running an illegal call center. By misrepresenting branded companies like the Amazon and the Microsoft and in the

name of providing technological support, through remote applications they used to take control of the victims' devices and forced them to make payment in different modes. As of now, there are about 11 victims. Money trail has been established. Investigation is going on.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the fact that the investigation is going on, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)