

09.09.2025
Court No.28
Item No.62
ssi

CRM (A) 2665 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Raghunathganj PS Case No. **153 of 2025** dated **04.02.2025** under Sections **329(4)/64(1)/62/ 3 (5)** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Rinku Sk @ Asraful Sk. & another.**

....Applicants/Petitioners.

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Suman Bhanja

...for the petitioners

Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee
Ms. Sanjida Sultana

..for the State

Mr. Sourat Nandy

..for the de facto

Report regarding service of notice upon the de facto complainant as filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Heard the learned counsels for the petitioners, the de facto complainant and the State.

Perused the case diary.

It appears that the names of the present petitioners were taken by the local witnesses because victim was not knowing the identity of the present petitioners.

It is alleged that taking advantage of the fact that the victim was staying at the flat alone, the petitioners and another forcibly entered into the room and tried to sexually assault the victim.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of the victim and the local witnesses, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail to the petitioners is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)