

33
26.03.2025
KC

WPA No. 15950 of 2019

Smt. Manjushree Sen Sarkar
Vs.
The Sate of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debasis Kar
Mr. Arka Tilak Bhadra
Mr. Husen Mustafi
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Bipin Ghosh
Mr. Jahar Dutta
... for the State.

The present writ application has been preferred praying for direction upon the respondent authority to take necessary steps for removing the boundary wall erected illegally by the private respondent no. 7.

Learned counsel for the State is present but the respondent no. 7 is not represented.

At the time of hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of filing a representation with the respondent no. 3 on 19th December, 2018, till date no action has been taken by the Corporation.

Considering the materials on record, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction that the appropriate authority under the Kolkata Municipal Corporation (KMC) shall consider

the representation of the petitioner dated 19th December, 2018 on hearing the parties in accordance with law and by passing a reasoned order within sixty days from the date of communication of this order. In case, a copy of the representation is not available with the KMC, the petitioner is at liberty to file a copy of the same within fifteen days from the date of this order and the said representation shall be decided as directed within sixty days thereafter.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)