

24
09.09.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 1149 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Nabadwip Police Station Case No.248 of 2025 dated 07.04.2025 under Sections 3(5)/329(4)/351(2)/74 of the BNS, 2023.

And

In Re : **Rakesh Mondal @ Abdul Mondal & Ors.**
... Petitioners.

Mr. Soubhik Mitter
Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee ... for the petitioners.

Mr. Saibal Bapuli
Mr. S. Balial ... for the State.

Mr. Santanu Singha
Mr. Amit Halder
Mr. A. K. Roy
Ms. Deachen Lama ...for the de facto complainant.

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the version of the victim in the FIR and in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure are contradictory. The petitioners pray for bail.

Learned counsels for the State and the de facto complainant oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. The victim has named the petitioners before the doctor during her medical examination. She has implicated them in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of

Criminal Procedure. This Court is informed that schedule for examination of the victim has been fixed today.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioners therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)