

Sl.No.

3

13.08.2025

Court
No. 35

G.S.Das

WPA 16462 of 2025

Satya Charan Mridha

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Ziaul Haque
Mr. Himadri Kumar Mahata

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Suman Sengupta
Ms. Rituparna Maitra

... for the State – Respondent(s)

Mr. Nirmalendu Bera
Mr. Hironmoy Dutta
Ms. Gopa Das

... for the respondent nos.4-8

The petitioner complains that there has been interference with his peaceful enjoyment of his property. To that effect, the petitioner refers to an order passed in an application under Section 144(2) of the Cr.P.C. The said order reflects receipt of the report by the Hingalganj Police Station on 11.09.2023.

Learned advocate for the private respondents denies and disputes the contentions advanced in the writ petition.

Mr. Sengupta, learned advocate

appearing on behalf of the State, submits that an enquiry was conducted and the police authorities have also drawn up a proceeding under Section 126 of the BNSS.

Having considered that the orders under Section 144(2) of the Cr.P.C. are for a limited period and have no relevancy so far as the right, title and interest of a property is concerned, I am of the opinion that no foundational basis can be made on such order.

However, as the police authorities have drawn up a proceeding under Section 126 of the BNSS, they would continue their surveillance as also ensure that there is no law and order crisis because of the strained relationship existing between the parties.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 16462 of 2025 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is

also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this
order duly collected from the official website of the
Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)