

20.08.2025

Item No.51

Ct.No.34

rc.

Reject

C.R.M. (M) 1118 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Arambagh Police Station Case No. 261 of 2024 dated 22.05.2024.

And

In Re : **Chandi Chalak**

... Petitioner

Mr. Navanil De

Mrs. Monami Mukherjee

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Joydeep Roy

Mr. Rajesh Jana

... for the State

The petitioner is in custody for more than a year and prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the son of the petitioner is the principal assailant who dealt the fatal blow to the victim as stated by an eye witness in his statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

It appears that the petitioner and his son assaulted the victim who succumbed to his injuries. The offending weapon has been recovered from the house of the petitioner. Though the petitioner may not be the principal assailant, his involvement in the alleged offence is prima facie evident. The principal accused is still absconding.

Considering the material on record, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The bail application is disposed of.

Case Diary is returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)