

23.12.2025
Court No.34
Item.6
sg

CRR 2531 of 2023

In the matter of: **Sardar Jagjeet Singh**

...Petitioner.

Md. Masudur Rahaman
Ms. A. Nasrin

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Debashis Roy, Ld. P.P.
Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Mr. Aniket Mitra

...for the State.

1. Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner be kept on record.
2. This revisional application has been filed under Sections 401/397 read with Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for quashing and setting aside of the proceeding being G.R. Case No. 1700 of 2018 under Sections 419/420/383 of the Indian Penal Code pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 6th Court at Asansol.
3. The facts of the case is that the complaint has been lodged against the present petitioner by the opposite party alleging collection of money on the pretext of holding senior Officer of Human Rights Commission. Petitioner portraying himself as dignified person and philanthropist/social activist and by virtue of deed of trust dated 16th November, 2017 he has formed non-government and non-profitable trust for the purpose of prevention human

violation in name under the Style 'Human Rights Council'. However, there is rivalry between the opposite parties and the present petitioners and they have threatened the petitioner by demanding money that if does not assist, his associates in coordinating their illegal activities the petitioner will face dire consequence and for that reasons this complaint has been lodged with false and concocted story.

4. Accordingly petitioner has come before this Court for quashing of such complaint.

5. Learned advocate representing on behalf of the State, on the other hand, submits that sufficient materials are there against him. He has not only collected money from the present complainant but also from other members of the society and therefore he must face the trial, when the charge sheet has been submitted in this case after completion of investigation.

6. Having heard both the learned advocates. On careful perusal of the entire facts and circumstances, nature of allegation leveled against petitioner prima facie it is found that a society in the name of Human Rights Councils has been formed when allegation is taking name of Human Rights Commission demand is being made therefore, this dispute cannot be ascertained while exercising power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. and presently Section 528 of BNSS being disputed questions of fact.

7. Materials collected in course of investigation also prima facie reveals ingredients and the disputed question of facts which are required to be decided by the Trial Court in course of evidence.

8. Therefore, this Court is of view, considering above aspect matters should be relegated to the Trial Court for the purpose of further proceeding.

9. Accordingly, in view of the above this revisional application stands dismissed.

10. Parties are to act on the server copy of this order downloaded from the official website of the Calcutta High Court.

(Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J.)