

August 26, 2025

24 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 1086 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Khargram
Police Station** Case No. **297** of **2023** dated **10/8/2023** under
Sections **143/341/325/326/307/302/120B** of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections **25/27** of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Kawsar Sk @ Kaosar

... Petitioner.

Adv. Jaydeep Biswas,
Adv. Tapodip Gupta,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Sompurna Chatterjee,

...for the de facto complainant.

Adv. Antarikhya Basu,
Adv. Sarthak Mondal

...for the State.

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the evidence
of PW 7 and PW 8 and has submitted that these two witnesses were
not present at the place of occurrence and cannot be termed as eye
witnesses. Their evidence cannot lend support to the prosecution
case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

In turning down the bail prayer of the petitioner on two earlier
occasions on 10th July, 2024 and 7th March, 2025, this Court has
dealt with the material on record including deposition of seven
witnesses examined by the prosecution. There is no change in
circumstance of the case which warrants a favourable order for the
petitioner at this stage.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the prosecution
proposes to examine eleven more witnesses.

In view of the above, the bail prayer of the petitioner is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)