

20.02.2026

Ct. No. 38

Sl. No. 03

Moumita

In The High Court at Calcutta

Special Civil Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Contempt

WPCRC 125 of 2025

in

WPA 17022 of 2024

Sk. Jakir Hossen

-Vs.-

Mr. Abanindra Singha, The Divisional
Commissioner, Burdwan Division, Chinsurah,
Hooghly.

Mr. Sushanta Rakshit

Mr. Khairul Alam

....For the Petitioner

Mr. Nilotpall Chatterjee

Mr. Suddadev Adak

....For the alleged contemnors

This is a contempt proceeding arising from an order dated **January 3, 2025**, the compliance report filed by the alleged contemnor no. 1 in the form of affidavit shows that the reasoned order dated **February 21, 2025** has duly been communicated to the petitioner by a forwarding letter dated **September 11, 2025** at **pages 10 and 11** to the compliance report.

After considering the submissions made by Mr. Sushanta Rakshit, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner, it appears to this Court that the petitioner is now willing to challenge the veracity, correctness and worthiness of the said reasoned order dated **February 21, 2025**, this, in the firm view of this Court is not permitted in the contempt

jurisdiction. In the contempt jurisdiction the Court will see whether there has been any willful or deliberate violation of the direction of this Court.

Since, the reasoned order dated **February 21, 2025** has already been communicated to the petitioner, this Court is of the view that there is no contempt though the order has been communicated after three years. The direction of this Court has been substantially complied with.

However, if the petitioner is aggrieved with the veracity, correctness and worthiness of the reasoned order dated **February 21, 2025**, he may avail of the proper recourse of law to challenge the same, if so advised.

In view of the above, **WPCRC 125 of 2025** stands **dropped** and **closed**.

Rule, issued stands **discharged**.

The application **WPCRC 125 of 2025** stands disposed of, without any order as to costs.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)