

N.22Sl
151/CL

WPA 15910 of 2025

Sudhir Ranjan Roy

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

29.10.2025
SL-08
Ct.19
(S.R.)

Mr. Pappu Adhikari

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Ghosh

Mr. Diptendu Narayan

... for the State.

Mr. Ramanjan Bhattacharya

Mr. M. Ahmed

... for the respondent no.6.

1. The affidavit of service as filed today on behalf of the writ petitioner is taken on record.
2. The writ petitioner, the respondent/State and its instrumentalities i.e. the respondent nos.1 to 5 and the respondent no.6 are represented by their respective counsels.
3. On careful consideration of the entire materials as placed before this Court and after hearing the learned advocates for the contending parties, it appears to this Court that it is the grievance of the writ petitioner that despite submission of several representations with the respondent no.4/authority by the writ petitioner regarding inadequacy of compensation, such representations were not at all considered by the respondent no.4/authority.
4. In view of such, this Court while disposing the instant writ petition directs the respondent no.4/authority to treat a copy of the instant writ

petition along with its all annexures as a representation of the writ petitioner.

5. The respondent no.4/authority is further directed to give a fair chance of hearing to the writ petitioner and/or his authorized representatives and thereafter shall pass a reasoned order and shall forthwith communicate the same to the writ petitioner preferably by mail, if the email details of the writ petitioner are provided to him at the time of hearing.
6. The entire exercise, as indicated in the forgoing paragraphs, is to be completed by the respondent no.4/ authority positively within 45 working days from the date of communication of the server copy of this order.
7. Liberty is given to the learned advocate-on-record for the writ petitioner to communicate the server copy of this order to the respondent no.4/authority.
8. The respondent no.4/authority is directed to act on the server copy of this order.
9. It is further made clear that the time limit, as fixed by this Court, is mandatory and peremptory.
10. With the aforementioned observations, the instant writ petition being **WPA 15910 of 2025** is disposed of.
11. There shall, however, no order as to costs.
12. Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if

applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all the necessary formalities.

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)