

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Present:
The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta

CRR 3111 of 2025
With
CRAN 1 of 2025

Supradip Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Subir Ganguly
Ms. Kakali Das Chakraborty

For the O.P. 2 : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mondal

For the State : Ms. R. Ghosh
Mr. Dattatreya Dutta

Heard on : 13.08.2025

Judgment on : 13.08.2025

Jay Sengupta, J.:

This is an application praying for quashing of proceeding in Case No. ACGR 4792 of 2018 (ACGR 2689 of 2017) presently pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 6th Court, Alipore, South 24 Parganas arising out of Parnashree P.S. Case No. 143 dated 22.02.2017 on the ground of settlement and compromise.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioners is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused petitioners submits as follows. A charge sheet has been submitted under Section 498A of the Penal Code. During pendency of the proceeding, at the behest of the common friends and family members a compromise and settlement has been arrived at between the private parties about all disputes that had led to the registration of an FIR. As such, the impugned proceeding may be quashed on the ground of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant/wife supports such contentions of the petitioners and submits that a settlement has indeed been arrived at between the private parties. The impugned proceeding may be quashed because of such settlement.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and submits as follows. There is no injury report present in the case diary. Upon instructions, it is submitted that the wife is now staying at the matrimonial home. In fact, the State would not come in the way if the private parties arrive at a settlement and compromise.

It appears that a settlement and compromise has indeed been arrived at between the private parties of all disputes that had led to the initiation of the impugned proceeding.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the impugned proceeding is quashed on the ground of settlement.

CRAN 1 of 2025 also stands disposed of accordingly.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this judgment be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

tbsr/34