

44  
16.09.2025  
AGM

**In The High Court At Calcutta  
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side**

**W.P.A 15922 of 2025**

**Samsuddin Sekh  
-versus  
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Ms. Iti Dutta.  
Mrs. Priti Jain.  
...For the Petitioner.**

**Mr. Jayanta Samanta. JGA  
Mrs. Rituparna Maitra.  
... For the State.**

1. The petitioner filed the instant writ petition challenging the steps taken by the Tender Issuing Authority to issue the work order in favour of the L2 bidder by ignoring the claim of the petitioner who is the L1 bidder.

2. During the pendency of the writ petition, the work order has been issued and, accordingly, at this stage the writ petition becomes infructuous.

3. Learned advocate representing the State respondents submits, upon instruction that, complaint was lodged by the petitioner after the expiry of the stipulated time period mentioned in the Notice Inviting Tender.

4. As it appears that the work order has been issued, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to exercise jurisdiction in the matter.

5. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

6. Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept with the records.

7. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

**( Amrita Sinha, J.)**