

29
18.08.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 1056 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Contai Police Station Case No.114 of 2022 dated 13.03.2022 under Sections 302/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Bubun Maity** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Anirban Dutta
Mr. Arka Ghosh
Mr. younus Sultan ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Kabir
Ms. Poulami Bose ... for the State.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he is in custody for more than 3 years. Only 8 witnesses have been examined. Progress in trial is slow. The other persons who were last seen together with the victim have not been implicated by the prosecution. He prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. The petitioner was last seen together with the victim who left his house at night and his dead body was found on the next morning. 8 out of 15 witnesses have been examined. Learned counsel for the State expects conclusion of witness action within another six months from the next date fixed for evidence before the learned trial Court. The petitioner is in

custody for about 3 years. Offence, if proved, shall attract mandatory life imprisonment.

Considering the prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without granting any adjournment to either of the parties and in the light of the submission made on behalf of the State.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)