

25.07.2025
Item no.7
Court No.42
ss
(Dismissed)

**HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (R) 87 of 2025

In re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with New Barrackpore Police Station Case No.90 of 2025 dated 27.03.2025 under Sections 75/76/77/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 now number as POCSO Case No.73 of 2025 presently pending before the learned Judge, Special Court, under POCSO Act Barrackpore, North 24-Parganas.

-And-

In the matter of : Sankar Dhali

... Petitioner

Mr. Debasis Kar
Mr. Arka Tilak Bhadra

...for the petitioner

Mr. Debabrata Chatterjee
Ms. Debadrita Mondal

... ..For the State

Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharyya

... for the *de facto* complainant

Service report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been entangled in the present case after a lapse of five years. The petitioner is in custody for 4 months. He seeks for enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Learned Advocate for the State, opposing such prayer for bail, submits that there are allegations against the petitioner of making obscene videos and circulating the same. The mobile phone of the petitioner has been seized and sent to FSL. The statement of friend of the victim would show that such videos

and photographs were circulated. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Learned Advocate for the *de facto* complainant also submits that the victim was blackmailed by the petitioner on the basis of obscene videos and photographs. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Perused the case diary and materials on record.

The statement of the victim implicates this petitioner of making obscene videos and circulating the same. The friend of the victim in her statement also states of such circulation. Considering the above materials and bearing in mind nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the bail prayer of the petitioner is rejected.

The application for bail being **CRM (R) 87 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)