

01.08.2025
Court No.28
Item No.39
ssi

CRM (A) 2395 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Debra PS Case No.**370 of 2025** dated **04.06.2025** under Sections **329(3)/118(1)/117(2)/76/303(2)/324(2)/351(2) & 3 (5)** of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Sourav Sing & others.**

....Applicants/Petitioners.

Mr. Siddhartha Sarkar

...for the petitioners

Ms. Baisali Basu

Md. Kutubuddin

..for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that due to a scuffle between neighbors, injury was suffered on both sides. Although complaint was made from the side of the petitioners, the same was not registered as an FIR.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She refers to the statements of witnesses and to the injury report. The injury report does not show inflicting of any grievance injury.

Considering the materials available in the case diary and the fact that there were allegations leveled from both the sides, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further conditions that the petitioners shall not threaten or intimidate witnesses. The petitioners shall meet the I.O. once a fortnight till submission of report in final form.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)