

81

**Court
No. 35**

G.S.Das

XXX

-Vs-

Mr. Amitabha Karmakar
Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Joydeep Banerjee
Mr. Bellal Shaikh

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Suman Chakraborty

... for the private respondent no. 4

Be that as it may, it has been
informed by Mr. Banerjee, learned
advocate appearing on behalf of the State,

that he has information that *today*, the respondent no.4, namely, *Partha Sarathi Ghosh*, has appeared before the learned CJM, Hooghly.

Learned advocate for the private respondent no.4 also supports the contentions advanced by the State.

Having considered that one of the grievances of the petitioner has been addressed, so far as the issue relating to tracing out Rs.13 lakh is concerned, since Charge-sheet has already been submitted before the jurisdictional court, if the petitioner is aggrieved by any part of the investigation, she will invoke the jurisdiction of the concerned court under the relevant provisions of under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. The concerned court would assess regarding the efforts of the police authorities, materials collected in course of the investigation, thereafter, pass necessary directions as it deems fit and proper. If such an application is preferred, within a

period of 30 days, the same be disposed
by the jurisdictional court.

With the aforesaid observations,
WPA 15316 of 2025 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is
also disposed of.

Report so submitted be kept with
the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this
order duly collected from the official website of the
Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

