

WPA 15880 of 2024

**Birochan Masanta
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Debasis Dey

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Suddhadev Adak

... .. for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher of a Primary School. The petitioner retired from service on 31.05.2016. The first pension payment order was issued on 18.04.2016. Under the ROPA Rules, 2019 there was revision of the pensionary and gratuity amount payable to the petitioner. The revised pension payment order was issued on 14.05.2004 and the revised arrear pension and gratuity was disbursed on 27.05.2024 in terms of ROPA, 2019. The petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of the revised arrear pension and gratuity amount.

There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither of the parties has suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in W.P. No. 17557 (W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) wherein a co-ordinate Bench

had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in the case of Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner @8% per annum on the revised gratuity and revised arrear pension calculated from 14.02.2020 till the date of actual payment.

Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of, however, no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied.

The parties shall act in terms of the copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)