

AD 49
August 5, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

CRM(A) 2284 of 2025

Reject

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Harishchandrapur P.S. Case No.68 of 2025 dated 27.01.2025 under Sections 308(2)/308(3)/316(2)/316(5)/351(2)/61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Supen Ray

... petitioner

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sujata Das

... for the petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP
Mr. Shashanka Shekhar Saha

... for the State

Learned senior counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband of a gram panchayat member. The offending Section being Section 316(5) of the BNS would not apply as he is not a public servant himself. As per the FIR, the petitioner had refunded a sum of Rs.10,000/- taken from a proposed beneficiary.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner has been abusing the position of his wife. He has been demanding money from several proposed beneficiaries of the scheme "Banglar Bari Prokolpo" for getting house under the Scheme. There was a video footage, which has been seized.

Statements of the victims were recorded before a learned Magistrate.

Considering the serious nature of allegations and the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not think this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)