

AD 21
August 18, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

CRM(A) 2238 of 2025

Reject

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Ashoknagar P.S. Case No.122 of 2025 dated 24.02.2025 under Sections 318(4)/318(2)/336(2)/338/337/336(3)/340 (2) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Sandeep Ghosh alias Sandip Ghosh
... petitioner

Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya
Ms. Sarmistha De
... for the petitioner

Ms. Amita Gaur
Mr. Tirthankar Dhali
... for the State

Mr. Malay Bhattacharya
Mr. Madan Mohan Roy
Ms. Sudipa Sengupta
... for the de facto complainant

Report filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had purchased a property and registered the same. The de facto complainant is trying to harass the petitioner by making absurd claims.

Learned counsel for the State denies the allegations, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the de facto complainant's husband had owned the property in question. Taking advantage of the aliases of the owner, the petitioner engaged someone as a seller and prepared a forged

deed for transfer of the property in question. In fact, the de facto complainant's husband had died long ago and this was within the knowledge of the petitioner as the petitioner happened to be the nephew of the said deceased.

Learned counsel for the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Considering the nature of allegations and the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)