

26.06.2025
Item No.14
Ct. No.26
CHC

CRLCP 23 of 2024

**The Court in its Own Motion
Vs.
Arunangshu Chakraborty**

Mr. Piyush Chaturvedi, Senior Advocate
Mr. Tarun Kumar Das, Advocate
...for the petitioner

Mr. Soumya Majumder, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Pratik Majumder, Advocate
Mr. Kinnor Ghosh, Advocate
...for the University

Mr. Arunangshu Chakraborty
... alleged contemnor (in-person)

1. Contemnor appears in person.
2. Affidavit affirmed by the contemnor on June 26, 2025 filed in Court be taken on record.
3. Affidavit of the contemnor is an unconditional apology to the Court. He says that, there was no intention to scandalize this Hon'ble Court or interfere with the course of Justice. He also says that, in the event, any conduct of him is construed to be an act of contempt, the same was completely unintentional and offered unconditional apology for the same. He invites the Court to accept the unconditional apology to discharge him from the Contempt Rule issued on May 10, 2024.
4. The Contempt Rule was specially assigned to this Bench. Proceeding of the Contempt Rule commenced before this Bench on and from July 3, 2024. Various

orders were passed from time to time in the Contempt Rule. Contemnor applied for review of an order dated July 18, 2024 passed in the Contempt Rule. By the order dated July 18, 2024, we directed exchange of affidavits without prejudice to the contentions of the contemnor that no other parties need be heard in the Contempt Rule. Review petition of the contemnor being RVW 238 of 2024 was disposed of by judgment and order dated August 21, 2024.

5. Being aggrieved, contemnor preferred a Special Leave Petition which was disposed of as withdrawn by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
6. Several Special Leave Petitions were filed by the contemnor directed against various orders. One of such Special Leave Petition being (Crl.) Nos.14916-14917/2024 arising out of the judgment and order dated September 11, 2024 passed in MAT No.962 of 2024 was disposed of by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Hon'ble Supreme Court noted in such order that contemnor graciously states that he will tender an unconditional apology before the High Court for the misgivings which have happened. Supreme Court also expresses the view that there was no reason to doubt that in the event the contemnor being repentant for the unfortunate past incidents and

upon unconditional apology being tendered by him, the High Court will show its magnanimity.

7. Thereafter, the contempt petition was adjourned from time to time. Initial order of stay of the contempt proceeding expired by efflux of time. As on date, there is no impediment in the disposal of the contempt petition finally.
8. Two of the parties appearing in the contempt proceeding being parties in the matter which resulted in the issuance of the contempt Rule, submits through their learned advocate in Court, they do not object to the apology tendered by the contempt being considered by the Court.
9. As noted above, the apology tendered by the contemnor is unconditional. He is also showing his remorse and repentance for his past deeds. Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated December 6, 2024 allowed the contemnor to tender unconditional apology before the High Court for the misgivings which happened. Supreme Court also observed that, in the event, the contemnor was repentant for the past incidents, unconditional apology may be accepted by the High Court showing its magnanimity.
10. In such circumstances, we deem it appropriate to accept the apology tendered by the contemnor.

11. We, therefore, proceed to discharge the Rule issued
as against the contemnor.

12. **C.R.L.C.P. 23 of 2024** is **disposed of**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)