

27.08.2025
Sl. No.46
NB

C.R.M. (A) 2218 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Dalkhola P.S. Case No.449/24 dated 30.12.2024 under Sections 303(2)/318(4)/316(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Golam Rabbani ... petitioner

Ms. Priya Chakraborty.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy Id.APP.,
Ms. Sudeshna Das.
...for the State.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Perused the case diary.

It appears that the person from whom the truck was seized could not be traced out for examination. However, there is statement of one Mir Shakeel who ran the transport business and took vehicles from others. He stated that the petitioner and two others were known to him in connection with such transport business. He further stated that there are earlier instances of goods being stolen away when these persons were involved in transport of such goods.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statement of a witness present at page 50 of the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail being **C.R.M. (A) 2218 of 2025** is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)