

11  
12.09.2025  
Ct.No.34  
b.das  
Rejected

**C.R.M. (M) 790 of 2025**

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Hariharpara Police Station Case No.32 of 2024 dated 22.01.2024 under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : **Yusub Seikh @ Sk.** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Soumyajit Das Mahapatra  
Md. G. M. Imrohi  
Ms. Madhurai Sinha  
Mr. P.P. Sinha ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Kaushik Kundu  
Ms. Sanjida Sultana ... for the State.

Mr. Enamul Islam  
Mr. Asif Ikbal Baidya  
Md. Nasirul Haque ...for the de facto complainant.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody for more than a year and prays for bail.

Learned counsels for the State and the de facto complainant oppose the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. Witnesses have implicated the petitioner in the alleged offence. His prima facie involvement in the offence is apparent from the evidence on record.

This Court is informed that the prosecution proposes to examine 10 more witnesses and examination of witnesses is likely to conclude within 4 months from the next date of hearing fixed before the learned trial Court.

Considering the material on record and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, in the light of the submission made on behalf of the State.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Suvra Ghosh, J.)**