

29.07.2025
Item No.03.
Daily List
Court No.42
Mithun
(Rejected)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA.
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

CRM (M) 789 of 2025

In re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439
of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with
Kolkata Leather Complex Police Station Case No.37/2023 dated
26.03.2023 under Section 363/354/356B and added Section
302/394 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 8 of the POCSO
Act, presently pending before the learned Judge, Special Court
under POCSO Act, Baruipur, South 24 Parganas

-And-

In the matter of : Tufan Pramanik

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Amitabha Karmakar

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Amit Ranjan Pati

...for the *de facto* complainant

Mr. Soumik Ganguly,
Ms. Sanjida Sultana

... ..For the State

Petitioner renews his prayer for bail.

Report submitted by the State is taken on record.

On the prayer of learned Advocate for the petitioner, leave is
granted to amend the cause title of the application.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the
possibility of early conclusion of trial is bleak. The petitioner is in
custody for 2 years 3 months. He seeks for enlargement of the
petitioner on bail.

Opposing such prayer for bail, learned Advocate for the
State submits that there are evidences of last seen together of

the petitioner and the victim (deceased). There are allegations against the petitioner of causing murder of the victim. The mobile phone of the victim girl and gold ring was seized from the petitioner. He submits that the prosecution intends to examine 29 witnesses and already 8 witnesses have been examined and 12th August, 2025 is fixed for examination of further witnesses. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Learned Advocate for the *de facto* complainant also submits that there are incriminating materials against the petitioner. He also seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Perused the case diary and materials on record.

The evidence of the witness shows that the victim and the petitioner were last seen together. The mobile phone and the gold ring of the victim have been recovered from the petitioner. The post mortem report reveals that the death was due to strangulation by ligature associated with head injuries which is ante mortem in nature and homicidal in manner. Considering such incriminating materials and the nature and gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial to the fullest extent and conclude the same at an early date without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

Prosecution is directed to produce witnesses before the Trial Court on the schedule dates fixed for examination of witnesses.

Parties are directed to cooperate in the trial before the Trial Court.

The application being ***CRM(M) 789 of 2025*** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)