

30.06.2025
Item no.39(DL)
Court No.42
srm
(Rejected)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(M) 768 of 2025

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with POCSO Case No.29 of 2024 arising out of Raghunathgunj Police Station Case No.819 of 2024 dated 01.07.2024 under Section 4 of the POCSO Act, pending before the learned Judge, Special Court, Jangipur, Murshidabad;

And

In Re : Pintu Sk. @ Esrail Sk.

.... Petitioner

Ms. Shabana Hasin,
Ms. Samima Akter

...for the Petitioner.

Md. Adil Badr,
Mr. Sobhan Gani

... for the State.

Ms. Jonaki Saha,
Mr. Kanchan Roy

...for the *de facto* complainant.

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in custody for more than 350 days. She seeks for enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Opposing such prayer for bail, learned Advocate for the State submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of his involvement in the alleged offence. He seeks for dismissal of the application.

Similar submission is advanced on behalf of the *de facto* complainant.

Perused the case diary and the materials on record.

It is found from the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. that there are serious allegations against the petitioner of his involvement in the alleged offence which has also been stated by the victim before the attending doctor during her medical examination. Considering the nature and the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The application for bail being **CRM (M) 768 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)