

14.07.2025

Item No.57

Ct.No.34

rc.

Reject

C.R.M. (M) 755 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Jalangi Police Station Case No. 285 of 2022 dated 10.09.2022.

And

In Re : **Hamu @ Hamidul Sk @ Hamidul Haque**

... Petitioner

Mr. Tapodip Gupta

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Imran Ali

Ms. Baishakhi Chatterjee

... for the State

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner is taken on record.

The victim is not represented despite service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner renews his prayer for bail after remaining in custody for about two years and ten months. Though charge has been framed, witness action is yet to commence.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have perused the material on record. The victim appears to be a mentally challenged lady. Sufficient incriminating material has transpired against the petitioner in course of investigation.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to take the proceedings to its logical conclusion as expeditiously as possible, without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The bail application is disposed of.

Case Diary is returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)