

20.08.2025
SL No.29
Court No.32
S.Gayen

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(A) 2034 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482
of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

-And-

In the matter of: Hasmat

...Petitioner

Mr. Amitabha Karmakar
Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Ld. APP
Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

...for the State

1. This instant application has been filed with a prayer for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that nothing was recovered from his possession and therefore he is entitled to grant of anticipatory bail.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail prayer and submits that the accused has already been declared as proclaimed offender and is not entitled to any anticipatory bail in terms of the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court.
4. I have gone through the order dated 06.05.2025 whereby the warrant of proclamation was issued against the accused on

06.05.2025, whereas the anticipatory bail application was filed on 16.06.2025.

5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is, thus, **rejected.**
6. As a sequel, the application for anticipatory bail being **C.R.M. (A) 2034 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)