

42
02.09.2025
d.p.

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A 13164 of 2025

**Dr. Renushree Rout
-versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Ms. Jyoti Sipani,
Mr. Dhiman Banerjee.
...For the Petitioner.**

**Mr. D.N. Maiti,
Mr. R. Chowdhury.
...For the Respondent
Nos. 2 to 5.**

**Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, Ld. AGP,
Mr. Gourav Das.
...For the State.**

1. The petitioner seeks compensation on account of the delayed action on the part of the West Bengal University of Health Sciences to provide proper intimation on time as per the request made by the Odisha Council of Medical Registration.

2. The petitioner submits that due to the delayed transmission of information, the petitioner lost the opportunity to participate in the recruitment process for the post of Assistant Professor in FMMCH, Balasore.

3. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the West Bengal University of Health Sciences refers to the communication dated 7th April, 2025 by the Controller of Examinations, West Bengal University of Health Sciences wherefrom it is evident that the subject communication was received by the West Bengal University of Health Sciences on 17th March, 2025 and

the verification process started immediately thereafter. Letter from the end of the University was issued on 20th March, 2025.

4. According to the petitioner, the initial communication made by the Registrar, Odisha Council of Medical Registration was forwarded to the Dean of the West Bengal University of Health Sciences on 18th February, 2025. The University denies the same and submits that the said communication was received only in March 2025.

5. It appears that there are disputed question of facts with regard to the date on which the notice of the Registrar, Odisha Council of Medical Registration was received by the West Bengal University of Health Sciences.

6. The said disputed factual question cannot be ascertained by the writ Court.

7. As regards to the assessment of compensation to the alleged loss suffered by the petitioner, the same cannot also be adjudicated by the writ Court.

8. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.

9. It will, however, be open for the petitioner to approach the competent forum for remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)