

16.07.2025
SL No.43
Court No.32
S.Gayen

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

C.R.M.(A) 2020 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with English Bazar Police Station Case No. 1291 of 2024 dated 11.09.2024 under Sections 85/117(2)/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 4 of the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, 2019.

-And-

In the matter of: Royal Ansari & Anr.

...Petitioners

Mr. Wasim Akram
Ms. Sabrina Parveen

...for the Petitioners

Mr. Arindam Jana
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee
Mr. Rahul Surtani

...for the *de facto* Complainant

Ms. Baisali Basu
Mr. Soumadip Saha

...for the State

1. This instant application has been filed with a prayer for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that the case was initiated on the basis of omnibus allegations against the petitioners and all the allegations are false. It is further submitted that the other accused of this case is already on anticipatory bail.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the *de facto* complainant has submitted that there is sufficient allegations against the husband, who is prime accused in this case.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail prayer by referring to the statement of the complainant which is kept in the case diary and the investigation of the same is still ongoing.
5. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and the materials placed before this Court as well as the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner No.1, Royal Ansari on anticipatory bail. Therefore, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner No.1, **Royal Ansari** stands **rejected**.
6. With regard to the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner No.2, Farida Bibi, I have gone through the record as well as the materials collected in course of investigation. Therefrom, I do not find any specific allegation against her save and except some omnibus ones. In that view of the matter, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner No.2, **Farida Bibi** stands **allowed**.
7. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is, thus, **partly allowed**.
8. I direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner No.2 will be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with one surety of like amount, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and also be subject to the

conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, and on further condition that the petitioner No.2 shall appear on every date before the jurisdictional Court on and from the date fixed for appearance of the accused and in default the jurisdictional Court will pass appropriate order to secure the presence of the petitioner No.2 in Court including cancelling the anticipatory bail granted without further reference to this Court.

9. Thus, the application for anticipatory bail being **C.R.M. (A) 2020** of **2025** stands disposed of.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)