

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

Before:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jay Sengupta

C.R.R. 2573 of 2025

Kartick Mondal
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sabir Ahmed, Mr. Tasnim Ahmed, Mr. Dhiman Banerjee, Mr. Ezaz Ahmed.
For the State	:	Mr. Suman De, Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee
Heard on	:	24.07.2025
Judgement on	:	24.07.2025

Jay Sengupta, J. :

1. This is an application praying for an expeditious disposal of the proceeding being SC Case No.40(07) 2024 pending before the learned Sessions Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas arising out of Bishnupur Police Station Case No.543 of 2023 dated 15.07.2023 under Sections 302, 201, 120B and 34 of the Penal Code read with Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.
2. Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Suman De and Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee, learned counsels who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. They are requested to represent the State in this case. Their

engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows.
The petitioner is a victim/father of the deceased in this case. The FIR was lodged on 15.07.2023. A charge sheet was submitted on 03.04.2024. Yet, till date, even the charges could not be framed. The present proceeding has remained pending for no fault on the part of the present petitioner.
4. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.
5. I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.
6. No prejudice will be caused to anyone if a direction is passed for an expeditious disposal of a proceeding.
7. It appears that there is an inordinate delay in concluding the proceeding, especially on the question of framing of charges.
8. In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the proceeding in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible, more particularly to complete the process of framing of charges expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the next date of hearing.
9. With the above observations and directions, the revisional application is disposed of.

10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

Sl. 978/NB