

42
23.06.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 588 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Suti Police Station Case No.902 of 2024 dated 04.12.2024 under Sections 126(2)/118(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 adding Section 103(1) of the BNS.

And

In Re : **Jadab Ghosh** ... Petitioner.

Ms. Minoti Gomes
Ms. Benajit Hasna
Mr. Aliul Islam ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Saibal Bapuli
Ms. Sonali Das ... for the State.

Mr. Kallol Mondal
Mr. Krishan Ray
Mr. Akbar Laskar ...for the de facto complainant.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for four months. He has not been named as the principal assailant. Statement of witnesses records that he dealt a single blow on the victim. He prays for bail.

Learned counsels for the State and the de facto complainant oppose the prayer and submit that 5 co accused are still absconding. The accused persons including the petitioner have been threatening the witnesses for which the de facto complainant was constrained to file a writ petition before the appropriate Bench.

I have considered the material on record. Injured eye witnesses to the incident have named the petitioner as one of the assailants. The offending weapon has been recovered at the instance of the petitioner. Vulnerable witnesses are yet to be examined.

Considering the material on record and prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)