

D/L.06.
May 30, 2025.
SUBRATA/KAUSHIK.

WPA No. 12101 of 2025

Netai Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal and Others

Mr. Pintu Karar
Mr. Saheb Uddin Laskar
... for the petitioner.

Md. Ahsanuzzaman
Mr. Kushal Biswas
Ms. Tuhina Parvin - I
... for the State.

Affidavit of service filed in Court is taken
on record.

Citing inaction on the part of the
concerned police authorities in taking appropriate
steps despite receiving a complaint from the
petitioner, the present writ petition has been
filed.

The petitioner has alleged that the private
respondents, along with several unknown
persons, used abusive language and threatened
him with dire consequences. Although this
information was brought to the notice of the
police authorities, no appropriate steps were
taken by them. This inaction has prompted the
petitioner to file the present writ petition.

Md. Ahsanuzzaman, learned advocate
representing the State, has submitted written
instructions. He contends that upon receipt of the

complaint, a probe was conducted, and upon its completion, the Investigating Officer concluded that no cognizable offence had been made out. With regard to the issue, it is further submitted that the present situation in the area is peaceful and that the police authorities are maintaining strict vigil to prevent any untoward incident. The report, as produced on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Having heard the learned advocates representing the respective parties and upon perusal of the record, taking note of the written instructions produced on behalf of the State, I am of the view that no further interference is warranted in the present writ petition.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)