

Court No. 6
(265719)

09.09.2025
(AD 28)
(S. Banerjee)

CO 1934 of 2025

Susovon Chandra
Vs.
Moumita Chandra Maity

Mr. Subrata Ghosh

...for the petitioner

Mr. Arup Krishna Das
Mr. Rajarshi Ghosh

...for the opposite party

This application under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is at the instance of the husband and is directed against order no. 29 dated April 24, 2025 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, 4th Court at Paschim Medinipur in Matrimonial Suit No. 88 of 2022. By the order impugned the prayer of the petitioner for recording the evidence thorough video conferencing stood rejected.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made an arrangement to come down to Paschim Medinipur for the purpose of facing the cross-examination. He further submits that the date of cross-examination of the petitioner is fixed on September 19, 2025.

Heard Mr. Das, learned advocate appearing for the opposite party.

The petitioner is presently residing in USA. Since the petitioner is ready and willing to come down to Paschim Medinipur to face the cross-examination and he is schedule to arrive at Paschim Medinipur on September 17, 2025 and shall return to USA only on October 1, 2025, this court is of the considered view that the ends of justice would be sub-served if the learned trial judge is directed to take up the cross-examination of the petitioner on the next date fixed, i.e., on September 19, 2025 and to make an endeavour to conclude the same on that date. If for any reason the cross-examination of the petitioner cannot be concluded on that date, the learned trial judge is requested to fix consecutive dates for cross-examination and make an endeavour to conclude the cross-examination of the petitioner on or before September 23, 2025.

With the above observation and direction, CO 1934 of 2025 stands disposed of by modifying the impugned order only to the extent as indicated above.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)