

20
06.11.2025
Ct. No.26
b.das

WPA 11892 of 2025

**Bank of Baroda
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.**

Mr. Sankar Biswas
Mr. Debnath Mahata
Mr. Swastic Saha
Ms. Ananya Adhikary ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilotpall Chatterjee
Mr. Sayan Ganguly ...for the State.

Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das
Ms. Deblina De
Ms. Maitrayee Das
...for the private respondents.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner alleges that despite an order passed under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act pursuant to which the bank was put in possession of the property in question, it was forcibly dispossessed by the 7th respondent.

The petitioner lodged a complaint before the police authority in this regard on 9th February, 2025 but no step has been taken pursuant thereto.

Learned counsel for the private respondents denies and disputes the contention of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that FIR has been registered pursuant to the complaint lodged by the petitioner and investigation is in progress.

The police authority has, therefore, taken necessary steps on the basis of the complaint lodged by the petitioner.

Since the petitioner alleges forcible dispossession despite an order under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)