

04.07.2025
Item no.9(DL)
Court No.42
srm
(Rejected)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(M) 528 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 arising out of Nimta Police Station Case No.356 of 2024 dated 14.11.2024 under Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act and subsequently Charge Sheet submitted under Sections 6/10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act and under Section 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita in connection with POCSO Case No.277 of 2024 pending before the Court of the learned Special Judge (POCSO Act) at Barrackpore, North 24 Parganas.

In Re : Biplab Talukdar

.... Petitioner

Mr. Sagnik Bhattacharya
Ms. Ruksar Parveen

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Roy
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

...for the State.

Service report and progress report filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that out of grudge the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. She seeks for enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Opposing such prayer for bail, learned Advocate for the State submits that the victim aged 9 years was sexually assaulted by the petitioner which has been stated by the victim in her statement. He seeks for dismissal of the application.

Despite service, none appears on behalf of the *de facto* complainant.

Perused the case diary and the materials on record.

It is found from the statement of the victim that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of his involvement in the alleged offence. Considering the nature and the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The application for bail being **CRM (M) 528 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)