

20th Nov., 2025

Item no.D/L 02
Court No. 18

Pradip, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No. **WPA 12785 of 2024**

In the matter of :

Dipali Sarkar

.... Petitioner

VS.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

....Respondents

For the Petitioner:

Ms. Priya Ghosal

....Advocate

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the husband of the petitioner received terminal dues upon conversion of CPF to GPF; but as the dues under the GPF scheme were received at a belated point of time, prayer has been made by the petitioner, wife of deceased employee, for grant of interest.
2. On a perusal of the writ petition, it appears that the averments made therein do not mention a word about the conversion from CPF to GPF. The entire writ petition has been drafted on a premise that the husband of the petitioner did not receive the terminal dues.
3. The prayer made by the petitioner at the time of submission and the prayer and averments made in the writ petition does not tally with each other. The writ petition appears to be a misconceived one.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.
5. On the prayer of the learned advocate representing the petitioner, leave is granted to file fresh writ petition upon furnishing proper and better particulars.
6. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)