

AD-06
Ct No.16
14.05.2025
TN

FMA 668 of 2024

Smt. Kanika Saha and others
Vs.
Tarun Saha and another

Mr. Supriyo Kr. Ray,
Mr. Tamal Banerjee,
Mr. Saggaf Parveen

....for the appellants

1. Learned counsel for the appellants rightly points out that in the judgment dated April 23, 2025, in the very first line, instead of “defendants”, the word “plaintiff” was erroneously transcribed.
2. Accordingly, the judgment dated April 23, 2025 be deemed to stand corrected to the effect that the word “plaintiff” in the very first line thereof it will be read as “defendants”.
3. This order be deemed to be a part of the judgment dated April 23, 2025.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Uday Kumar, J.)