

22.07.2025
Item No.21
Ct. No.35
dc.

W.P.A. 11454 of 2025

Ashis Das
versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. D. N. Ray,
Ms. Munmun Tewary,
Mr. Sourav Halder,
Mr. Gourav Sarkar,
Ms. Sanchaita De ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Ashim Kumar Ganguly, AGP.,
Mr. Tarak Karan ... For the State.

Mr. Bhaskar Roy,
Ms. Megha Chanda ... For the Respondent Nos.7, 8 & 9.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be
kept with the record.

Report submitted by the learned advocate
appearing for the State be also kept with the record.
A copy of the report be handed over to the learned
advocate appearing for the petitioner.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in
which the investigation of Islampur Police Station
Case No. 101 of 2025 dated 03.02.2025 is
progressing. Earlier a grievance was canvassed that
the petitioner was informed that HDFC Bank
Account No.50200042219007, has been frozen.
Consequent to which a report was sought for. State
has submitted a report which reflects specifically
that the account has not been frozen in connection
with Islampur Police Station Case No. 101 of 2025
dated 03.02.2025.

Petitioner secondly expresses his agony regarding the progress of the investigation.

The provision of Section 193 of BNSS specifically provides that after a period of ninety days, the informant/*de facto* complainant is entitled to know the progress of the investigation. In case the petitioner has not been notified, petitioner would approach the learned Magistrate for necessary information to be furnished by the investigating agency relating to the progress of the investigation, as the subject-matter of the case, even if the starting date is taken to be 3rd February, 2025 reflects that a period of ninety days has already expired.

Accordingly, if the petitioner takes out any appropriate application under the relevant provisions of Section 193 of BNSS, learned Magistrate in seisin of Islampur Police Station Case No. 101 of 2025 would pass necessary directions under the relevant provisions of BNSS.

Learned advocate appearing for the private respondent nos. 7, 8 and 9 denies and disputes so far as the accusations made in the writ petition are concerned and reserves the right to canvass the issues at the appropriate stage of the case.

Having regard to the observations made above, further pendency of the writ petition is unwarranted.

Accordingly, the writ petition being WPA 11454 of 2025 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)