

D/L 57
11.08.2025
Bpg.
ct.no.35

W.P.A.11311 of 2025

**Sanjoy Kalsa
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Soumya Nag
Mr. Aditya Tiwari.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Sangeeta Roy
Mr. Akash Dutta.
...for the State-respondents.

Petitioner complains that his wife instituted a case under Section 175(3) of BNSS because of the inaction of the police authorities. Subsequent to which, Panskura Police Station Case No.155 of 2025 dated 15.02.2025 was registered for investigation. On conclusion of investigation, police authorities submitted charge-sheet. However, in the meantime, the accused persons were successful in obtaining bail. After obtaining bail, the father of the petitioner was mercilessly assaulted by the same set of accused persons. Consequent to which, Panskura P.S. Case No.519 dated 07.06.2025 has been registered. Petitioner complains also that in the interregnum period the son and other relations of the petitioner were also assaulted severely for which they were admitted in the hospital.

Records of the case reflect that Rohit Kalsa and Rahul Kalsa are repeat offenders whose names are surfacing in all the cases. Prima facie, it appears to this Court that the aforesaid two accused persons namely, Rohit Kalsa and Rahul Kalsa are taking law and order in their own hands ignoring the rule of law. Since in connection with Panskura P.S. Case No.155 of 2025 these accused persons were granted bail and the report of the police authorities submitted today also reflect that in connection with Panskura P.S. Case No.519 of 2025 their names are appearing and the injury report which has been enclosed of Harekrishna Kalsa names of said Rohit Kalsa and Rahul Kalsa are appearing along with others, I am of the view that the Additional S.P/SDPO in-Charge of Panskura Police Station will assess regarding the source of power of these accused Rohit Kalsa and Rahul Kalsa for committing repeatedly offence ignoring the law enforcing agency as well as the orders passed by this Court.

In case the de facto complainant in connection with Panskura Police Station Case No.155 of 2025, Panskura Police Station Case No.519 of 2025 and Panskura Police Station Case No.637 of 2025 prefers an application for cancellation of bail before the learned ACJM, Tamluk or the jurisdictional court,

in that case, the learned Magistrate would consider them to be history sheeters and repeat offenders and call for a report from the Additional SP before deciding the issue relating to their liberty and thereafter pass necessary orders.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 11311 of 2025 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)